

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 RASHAD CRAIG TANNER,

7 Defendant

Case No.: 2:18-cr-00266-APG-EJY


**Order Striking Objection to Magistrate
Judge's Order**

[ECF Nos. 84, 87]

8 Defendant Rashad Tanner filed a *pro se* objection to an order entered by Magistrate Judge
9 Youchah. ECF No. 84. Tanner is represented by counsel in this case. “[A] party who has appeared
10 by attorney cannot while so represented appear or act in the case. This means that once an attorney
11 makes an appearance on behalf of a party, that party may not personally file a document with the
12 court; all filings must thereafter be made by the attorney.” Local Rule IA 11-6(a). Thus, Tanner
13 was not authorized to file the objection; only his counsel could do so. Therefore, the objection
14 must be stricken as a rogue filing.

15 I HEREBY ORDER that the Government’s motion to strike (ECF No. 87) is **granted** and
16 Tanner’s objection (ECF No. 84) is **stricken**.

17 DATED this 6th day of July, 2020.

18 
19 ANDREW P. GORDON
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21
22
23